

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/540,074	06/22/2005	Steven John Cowey	2736-1-002 2605		
23565 KLAUBER &	7590 07/06/2007 IACKSON		EXAMINER		
411 HACKENSACK AVENUE			KRAMER, DEVON C		
HACKENSACK, NJ 07601			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	•		3683		
				·	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			07/06/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/540,074	COWEY, STEVEN JOHN			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Devon C. Kramer	3683			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION B6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tilt Till apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. mely filed the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) This 3) Since this application is in condition for allowant closed in accordance with the practice under Expression in the practice of the condition is a condition.	- action is non-final. ice except for formal matters, pro				
Disposition of Claims					
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-13 and 16-22 is/are pending in the a 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-6,8,10,12,16,17 and 19 is/are rejected. 7) ⊠ Claim(s) 79 11 13 18 20-22 is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.				
Application Papers		•			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access applicant may not request that any objection to the orange Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner	epted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se on is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ejected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 					
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 06/07 and 06/05.	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D: 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ate			

Application/Control Number: 10/540,074

Art Unit: 3683

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Objections

1) Claims 2, 4-5, 7-13, 16-17 and 20 are objected to because of the following informalities:

Claim 2 recites "the underside" which should be -an underside--:

Claims 4 and 17 lines 1-2, "the top and bottom faces" should be -top and bottom faces--;

Claim 4 line 3, "the lower end" should be -a lower end--;

Claim 5 line 3, "the front face" should be –a front face--;

Claim 10 line 3, "the bottom corners" should be -bottom corners--.

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly

claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

3) Claims 4 and 10-11are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 4 recites the limitation "said abutment" in line 3. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Claim 10 recites the limitation "the mounting plate" in line 3. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Application/Control Number: 10/540,074

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4) The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5) Claims 1-6, 12 and 16-17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Waddell et al (2879985) in view of Culp (3608882).

In re claims 1, 5-6, 12 and 17, Waddell provides a fender assembly adapted for attachment to a fixed support surface of a dock (figure 1) structure at a predetermined height above the ground, said fender assembly comprising: a vertical guide track (14) which, in use, is fixed with respect to the support surface, a disposed elongate fender guided for slidable movement along said guide track, spring loaded fender restraining means (20) co-acting with and adapted to resist movement of the fender when displaced downwards from a normal at rest position by an externally applied force, said fender restraining means being movable between a retracted position wherein the fender is supported in its said normal at rest position and an extended position wherein the fender is displaced downwards from said at rest position. Waddell teaches a fender member disposed vertically across the loading dock and lacks the teaching of disposing it vertically.

Culp teaches a vertically disposed bumper assembly.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to have mounted the fender assembly of Waddell vertically as taught by Culp merely to be able to provide shock absorbing features for vehicles with a broad range of heights.

In re claim 2, see element 21 of Waddell.

In re claims 3-4 and 16, note that Waddell teaches that the block can be made of rubber (col. 2 line 5) and the bore can be considered a slotted keyway.

6) Claims 8 and 19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Waddell et al (2879985) in view of Culp (3608882) and further in view of Patois (D381176).

In re claims 8 and 19, Waddell et al as modified by Culp lacks the teaching of a T-shaped keyway.

Patois teaches a T-shaped keyway.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to have mounted the fender of Waddell et al as modified by Culp with a T-shaped Keyway as taught by Patois merely to provide a simple means to replace the fender when needed.

Application/Control Number: 10/540,074

Art Unit: 3683

Allowable Subject Matter

7) Claims 7, 9-11, 13, 18, 20-22 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims and further amended to correct any claim objections recited above.

Conclusion

- 8) The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Reast, Kitao, Horch, Drewett, Chase, Pemper et al, Leone et al, Brumby and Pollock all teach fender assemblies.
- 9) Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Devon C. Kramer whose telephone number is 571-272-7118. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri 8-4.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Rob Siconolfi can be reached on (571)272-7124. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Page 5

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Devon C Kramer Primary Examiner Art Unit 3683

Quar 1/25/01

DK